IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.Misc.No.64692-M of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: DECEMBER 12, 2006

Harpreet Singh

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present: Ms.G.K.Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr.N.S.Gill, AAG, Punjab.

...

Petitioner Harpreet Singh apprehending his arrest in a non-bailable offence in case FIR No.24 dated 6.2.2006 registered under Sections 307/302/324/323/148/149 IPC and Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act at Police Station Valtoha District Tarn Taran, has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail.

I have heard the counsel for the parties and gone through the contents of the FIR.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, who was juvenile at the time of the alleged occurrence, was found innocent by the police during investigation. In the aforesaid FIR, the only role attributed to him is that he exhorted the other accused to attack the complainant, though he was empty handed. Subsequently, after recording the statement of the complainant, the petitioner has been summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C. to face the trial along with the other accused.

Counsel for the petitioner further contends that in terms of the interim order dated October 19, 2006, the petitioner had appeared before the trial Court and furnished his regular bail bonds which have been accepted and attested, and since then he is regularly appearing. These facts have not been disputed by the counsel for the respondent-State .

In view of the above, the interim order dated October 19, 2006 is made absolute.

Disposed of accordingly.

December 12, 2006 (SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
vkg JUDGE